



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

5
UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/807,849	03/24/2004	Masaaki Yamashita	145923 (08CJ12719)	5463	
7590	10/19/2007		EXAMINER		
Robert E. Walter GE Plastics One Plastics Avenue Pittsfield, MA 01201		HUSON, MONICA ANNE			
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1791			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
		10/19/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/807,849	YAMASHITA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Monica A. Huson	1732	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 June 2007.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 6-13 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 6-13 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 March 2004 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) All b) Some * c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date: _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is in response to the Amendment filed 14 June 2007.

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on an application filed in Japan on 25 March 2003. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed a certified copy of the Japanese application as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 6-8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kato et al. (U.S. Patent 6,203,731). Regarding Claim 6, Kato et al., hereafter "Kato," show that it is known to carry out a method of forming a molded article (Abstract) comprising the steps of injecting a molding material into a metal mold having at least one pipeline (Figure 1; Column 5, lines 56-60); using a heating medium to heat the metal mold to a temperature 0-100C greater than a heat deformation temperature of the molding material to form the molded article (Column 6, lines 26-28; Column 7, lines 28-44); using a cooling medium to cool the metal mold to a temperature 0-100C lower than a heat deformation temperature of the molding material during the extraction of the molded article from the metal mold (Column 6, lines 30-31; Column 7, lines 28-44); wherein the heating medium and the cooling medium are passed through the same at least one pipeline to either heat the metal mold or cool the metal mold (Figure 1, element 8).

Regarding Claim 7, Kato shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 6 above, including maintaining the metal mold at an elevated pressure during the step of heating the metal mold to a temperature 0-100C greater than a heat deformation temperature of the molding material (Column 8, lines 13-22).

Regarding Claim 8, Kato shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 6 above, including a method wherein the molding material is a polyolefine or polycarbonate (Column 6, lines 15-18; polyolefine=polyethylene terephthalate).

Art Unit: 1732

Regarding Claim 13, Kato shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 6 above, including a method further comprising an electrical heater for use in heating the metal mold during heating of the molding material (Column 7, lines 35-42).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kato, in view of Maus (U.S. Patent 6,290,882).

Regarding Claims 9 and 10, Kato shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 6 above, but he does not show forming a fender or door panel. Maus shows that it is known to carry out a method of molding a fender or a door panel (Column 1, lines 10-14). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form Maus' fender or door panel using the molding method of Kato because both disclosures desire molded products with good surface finish and qualities.

Regarding Claims 11 and 12, Kato shows the process as claimed as discussed in the rejection of Claim 6 above, but he does not specify his heating and cooling medium. Maus shows that it is known to carry out a method wherein heating and cooling medium is circulated through a channel within the mold, wherein the heating and cooling mediums are oils (Column 17, lines 12-14). It would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use Maus' heating and cooling oils during Kato's molding process in order to easily carry out the temperature regulation at atmospheric pressure.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 1732

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Monica A. Huson whose telephone number is 571-272-1198. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 7:00am-4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Christina Johnson can be reached on 571-272-1176. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.



Monica A Huson

October 15, 2007